

THE PRACTICES OF LOCAL GOVERNANCE:
A TASMANIAN CASE STUDY

Ms Denbeigh J Armstrong BA (Hons)

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Geography and Environmental Studies
University of Tasmania
April 2010

Declarations

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for a degree or diploma by the University or any other institution, except by way of background information and duly acknowledged in this thesis, and to the best of my knowledge and belief no material previously published or written by another person except where due acknowledgement is made in the text of the thesis, nor does the thesis contain any material that infringes copyright.

Denbeigh Armstrong
April 2010

This thesis may be made available for loan. Copying of any part of this thesis is prohibited for one year after the date this statement was signed; after that time limited copying is permitted in accordance with the *Copyright Act 1968*.

Denbeigh Armstrong
April 2010

This thesis incorporates the outcome of data analyses undertaken in collaboration with Dr Elaine Stratford as part of a research project under her supervision. The collaboration relates to research on local governance and citizen participation in Tasmania and pertains to sections of chapters 6 and 7. The findings of that research have been published in the journal, *Transactions of the Institute of British Geography* and the journal *Local Environment*.

Armstrong, D and Stratford, E 2004, 'Partnerships for local sustainability and local governance in a Tasmanian settlement', *Local Environment*, 9(6), pp. 541-560

Stratford, E, Armstrong, D, Jaskolski, M, 2003, 'Relational spaces and the geopolitics of community participation in two Tasmanian local governments – a case for agonistic pluralism?' *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 28(4), pp.461-472.

Elaine Stratford
April 2010

Acknowledgements

There have been numerous people involved in the production of this thesis, to which I owe a deal of gratitude. In particular, I would like to extend my heart-felt appreciation to the research participants, without whom this work would not have been possible. Thank you for giving up your time and for entrusting me with your knowledge and ideas. To Elaine Stratford, my sincere thanks for your support and persistent and sympathetic supervision of my research. I am also indebted to Rob Anders, who kindly prepared the maps that appear throughout the thesis and Tony Ferrier and Rosemary Sandford, who provided valuable and insightful feedback on Chapter 3. This thesis was finalised while I was working part-time and I would like to extend my appreciation to my work colleagues for their support in the final weeks of writing.

To the two anonymous examiners I extend my sincere appreciation for your thoughtful feedback and suggestions for improvements to this dissertation and any future publications that may arise from the research.

I would like to extend my deepest thank to my family and friends for supporting and encouraging me throughout the duration of this study. In particular, I thank my mother Helen Armstrong for her valuable academic input and for looking after my daughter Madeleine during critical times throughout the production of this thesis.

Finally, I would like to extend my deepest gratitude to my partner Stewart, who not only provided inspiration and loving support throughout (especially in the darkest moments), but also edited the final copy.

Table of Contents

DECLARATIONS	XIII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	XIV
TABLE OF CONTENTS	XV
LIST OF TABLES.....	XVIII
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XVIII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XX
CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF FEDERAL AND TASMANIAN GOVERNMENTS	XXI
ABSTRACT	XXII
 CHAPTER I — INTRODUCTION.....	 1
RESEARCH QUESTIONS	7
GOVERNING.....	8
GOVERNANCE, NEW PUBLIC MANAGEMENT AND THE PLACE OF COMMUNITY IN PUBLIC POLICY	16
REGIONS IN TRANSITION	22
THE POWER OF PLACE AND THE PLACE OF POWER IN PLACE-MAKING	25
CHAPTER SYNOPSIS	28
 CHAPTER 2 — RESEARCH DESIGN.....	 31
SELECTING THE CASE STUDY AND ACCESSING PARTICIPANTS	35
METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION	38
METHODS OF ANALYSIS.....	44
ADDITIONAL NOTES TO GUIDE THE READER.....	48
 CHAPTER 3 — STORIES OF ORIGIN: THE CONTEXT OF THE FORMATION OF THE GEEVESTON STREETSCAPE REFERENCE GROUP	 49
KEY EVENTS IN THE STORY OF THE FORMATION OF THE GSRG	54
<i>The decline of the apple and pear growing industry – the beginning of the rot.....</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>The contraction of forest industries</i>	<i>59</i>
<i>Loss through local government amalgamations.....</i>	<i>85</i>
CUMULATIVE EFFECTS AND ‘VICTIM IDENTITIES’	89

CHAPTER 4 — THE SHIFTING TERRITORY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN AUSTRALIA AND TASMANIA	97
THE SHIFTING TERRITORY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN AUSTRALIA.....	98
TWO PERIODS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT REFORM	103
<i>Period 1: 1970-1990</i>	103
<i>Period 2: 1990 onwards</i>	106
THE MODERNISATION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN TASMANIA	115
<i>Bigger is better: local government amalgamations</i>	120
<i>A new Local Government Act</i>	123
<i>A new planning system</i>	125
<i>Accountability to the community</i>	129
<i>A new era of State-local government relations</i>	132
SUMMARY	135
CHAPTER 5 — THE EFFECTS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT REFORMS IN THE HUON VALLEY	137
CONSTITUTING CITIZENSHIP AND PARTICIPATION IN THE HUON VALLEY	138
CONTESTING THE ROLE OF COMMUNITY ACTORS IN GOVERNING THE HUON VALLEY MUNICIPAL AREA	144
<i>Reactive participation: the (ab)use of public question time</i>	145
<i>Community consultation and participation strategies</i>	153
<i>Constituting representation and representativeness</i>	161
<i>Role of administration and elected members</i>	167
SUMMARY	172
CHAPTER 6 — THE CREATION, ACTIVITIES AND EFFECTS OF THE GEEVESTON STREETSCAPE	
REFERENCE GROUP	175
THE CREATION OF THE GSRG	180
ACTIVITIES OF THE GSRG AND THEIR EFFECTS ON PLACE-MAKING AND COMMUNITY CAPACITY BUILDING	186
<i>Community Plan</i>	187
<i>Township landscaping</i>	188
<i>Building on the Forest Town theme – the sculpture project</i>	199
<i>Bendigo Bank</i>	212
EFFECTS OF THE GSRG FOR CITIZEN PARTICIPATION IN PROCESSES OF THE HUON VALLEY COUNCIL	222
<i>Benefits of the GSRG community-government partnership model</i>	224
<i>Limitations of the GSRG community-government partnership model</i>	227
<i>Future of the Streetscape Reference Groups</i>	236
SUMMARY	240

CHAPTER 7 — LOCAL GOVERNMENT, COMMUNITY ACTORS, LOCAL FUTURES: SUMMARY, DISCUSSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH.....	245
COMPLEXITY IN LOCAL GOVERNANCE: A DISCUSSION OF KEY FINDINGS	256
<i>The dynamic and complex relationship between representative and participatory democracy</i>	256
<i>Claims to representativeness</i>	260
<i>The challenge of conflict</i>	264
<i>Governing through community?</i>	268
REFERENCES	273
 APPENDIX 1 — LETTERS, INFORMATION SHEETS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS PROVIDED TO PARTICIPANTS	 303
APPENDIX 1.1: LETTER OF INVITATION TO PARTICIPATE: HUON VALLEY COUNCILLORS	303
APPENDIX 1.2: LETTER OF INVITATION TO PARTICIPATE IN FOCUS GROUP: GSRG	305
APPENDIX 1.3: LETTER OF INVITATION TO PARTICIPATE: KEY INFORMANTS	307
APPENDIX 1.4: INFORMATION SHEET FOR COMMUNITY MEMBERS AND COUNCILLORS	309
APPENDIX 1.5: INFORMATION SHEET FOR KEY INFORMANTS	311
APPENDIX 1.6: INFORMATION SHEET FOR KEY INFORMANTS	313
APPENDIX 1.7: INFORMATION SHEET FOR GROUP INTERVIEW	315
APPENDIX 1.8 STATEMENT OF INFORMED CONSENT	318
APPENDIX 1.9: LETTER REQUESTING PERMISSION TO OBSERVE GSRG MEETINGS.....	319
APPENDIX 1.10: LETTER REQUESTING PERMISSION TO OBSERVE HUON VALLEY COUNCIL MEETINGS.....	320
APPENDIX 1.11: EXAMPLE OF LETTERS SENT TO PARTICIPANTS UPDATING THEM ON THE PROGRESS OF THE RESEARCH	321
 APPENDIX 2 — INTERVIEW SCHEDULES.....	 323
APPENDIX 2.1: ISSUES COVERED DURING GROUP INTERVIEW WITH GEEVESTON STREETSCAPE REFERENCE GROUP MEMBERS.....	323
APPENDIX 2.2: INTERVIEW SCHEDULE FOR THE MAYOR AND COUNCILLORS, HUON VALLEY COUNCIL.....	324
APPENDIX 2.3: INTERVIEW SCHEDULES FOR COUNCIL SECTION MANAGERS,	326
<i>Manager Community Development Services (original manager)</i>	326
<i>Manager Community and Development Services (new manager)</i>	327
<i>Manager Planning and Development Services</i>	328
<i>General Manager</i>	329
APPENDIX 2.4: INTERVIEW SCHEDULES FOR KEY INFORMANTS TASMANIA	333
<i>Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT)</i>	333

<i>Local Government Managers Association</i>	334
<i>Local Government Board (State Government of Tasmania)</i>	335
<i>Local Government Division (State Government of Tasmania)</i>	337

APPENDIX 3 — TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE GEEVESTON STREETSCAPE REFERENCE GROUP..... 339

APPENDIX 4 — GEEVESTON COMMUNITY PLAN – REMOVED FROM THE ELECTRONIC VERSION FOR COPYRIGHT REASONS 343

List of Tables

Table 1	List of participants interviewed	42
---------	--	----

List of figures

All photographs in this thesis have been taken by the author unless otherwise stated.

Figure 1.1	Geeveston, Huon Valley Municipality, Tasmania, Australia.....	2
Figure 3.1	Location of major towns in the Huon Valley Municipality including location of Tahune Airwalk and Southwood.....	51
Figure 3.2	Geeveston, located at the confluence of three small valleys.....	52
Figure 3.3	Church St Geeveston looking east in 2000.....	53
Figure 3.4	Boundaries of State Forests, National Parks and private land including area within the Huon District.....	61
Figure 3.5	Huon Valley Council was formed by amalgamating three municipal areas: Esperance, Huon and Port Cygnet.....	86
Figure 3.6	Municipal boundaries in Tasmania, pre and post 1993.....	87
Figure 3.7	Heritage Park.....	92
Figure 6.1	Map of Geeveston and Geeveston town centre.....	179

Figure 6.2	Landscape plan for Geeveston town centre.....	193
Figure 6.3	New signage at the entrance to Geeveston welcomes visitors to the town.....	196
Figure 6.4	Selection of township landscaping features and other improvements around the town centre in Geeveston.....	197
Figure 6.5	Example of sculptures in Geeveston. Heritage Couple.....	205
Figure 6.6	Example of sculptures in Geeveston: Bill Trevaskis, former Geeveston Pharmacist.....	206
Figure 6.7	Example of sculptures in Geeveston: Jim Wotherspoon, Geeveston Policeman 1912-1992.....	207
Figure 6.8	Example of sculptures in Geeveston: Jim Hinchey, Village Blacksmith.....	208
Figure 6.9	Example of sculptures in Geeveston: Jessica Hannabury.....	209
Figure 6.10	Example of sculptures in Geeveston: Simon Burgess, local orchardist and Olympic rower.....	210
Figure 6.11	Geeveston People gather in the main street at one of the numerous events to unveil sculptures in Geeveston.....	211
Figure 6.12	Sculptures in Geeveston were typically unveiled by the then Premier of Tasmania, Paul Lennon Sculptures in Geeveston were typically unveiled by the then Premier of Tasmania, Paul Lennon and presented an opportunity for political networking, as demonstrated in the image to the right, where the Premier is talking with councillors, senior council staff and community leaders in Geeveston.....	212
Figure 6.13	Bendigo Bank, Church St, Geeveston.....	218
Figure 6.14	Skate Park, Geeveston.....	234

List of abbreviations

ACIR	Advisory Council for Inter-governmental Relations
ALGA	Australian Local Government Association
APM	Australian Paper Manufacturers
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
COAG	Council of Australian Governments
Cr	Councillor
GSRG	Geeveston Streetscape Reference Group
HVC	Hon Valley Council
LGAT	Local Government Association of Tasmania
LGMAT	Local Government Managers Association, Tasmania
LUPAA	Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993
MHA	Member of the House of Assembly
NCP	National Competition Policy
NOLG	National Office of Local Government
NPM	New Public Management
RFA	Regional Forest Agreement
RMPS	Resource Management and Planning System
RPDC	Resource Management and Planning Commission
SCAG	Southwood Community Advisory Group
SRG	Streetscape Reference Group
TDC	Town Development Committee
UNESCAP	United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific

Chronological list of Federal and Tasmanian governments

Numerous references are made throughout the work to government policies and programs implemented over time and a chronological reference of the different Tasmanian and Federal Governments from the early 1970s to the present time is provided here for reference purposes.

Period in Government	Federal party in government	Under the leadership of Prime Minister
1972-1975	Labor Party	Gough Whitlam
1975-1983	Liberal-National Coalition	Malcolm Fraser
1983-1991	Labor Party	Bob Hawke
1991-1996	Labor Party	Paul Keating
1996-2007	Liberal-National Coalition	John Howard
2007- to date	Labor Party	Kevin Rudd

Period in Government	Tasmanian State party in government	Under the leadership of Premier
1982-1989	Liberal Party	Robin Gray
1989-1992	Labor Party (includes period of the Labor-Green Accord)	Michael Field
1992-1996	Liberal Party	Ray Groom
1996-1998	Liberal Party	Tony Rundle
1998-2004	Labor Party	Jim Bacon
2004-2008	Labor Party	Paul Lennon
2008-to date	Labor Party	David Bartlett

Abstract

In this thesis I examine how local government and community actors are managing change in local governing processes. I describe how governing is practised and document the effects of such practices for the rural township of Geeveston in the Huon Valley Council, Tasmania, Australia. The aim of the research is to apprehend the materiality of governing at the local level under neoliberal governmental regimes in order to comprehend *what happens and what is at stake* when people govern and are governed in particular ways. This project is underpinned by an interest in everyday practices and the particular experiences of individuals in communities of place and interest and grounded in a case study of township revitalisation.

The implementation of neoliberal governmental technologies has changed profoundly governing practices at all levels of government. The term *governance* is being used as a general reference for these changing conditions of governing. Of concern here are the effects of those changes on local government that occurred in Tasmania, Australia during the 1990s. This period of local government modernisation emphasised managerial and structural changes designed to increase local government efficiency and effectiveness and were accompanied by legislative requirements to enhance citizen participation in local government processes. Determining how to integrate these twin goals has generated significant challenges for local government and community actors as they negotiate what it means to govern and be governed in the new *governance* environment.

The design for the research is based on a case study approach and draws on qualitative research methods. It assumes great value in working from the particularities of people's experiences; a position central to the practice of cultural geography. The theoretical framework draws on concepts of government developed in literature on governmentality; a perspective that highlights the regimes of practices of government used in attempts to shape rationally human conduct for particular ends. In its detailed examination of the practices of government, this project addresses a gap identified in the literature calling for more empirical research to explicate theorizations of governmental practices.

This research builds knowledge about local governance with attention to its constitution through the discursive and material practices of identity, community, representation, citizenship and politics in place. The work links the empirical findings of this research with concepts of the representative claim, agonistic pluralism and governing through community to problematise, respectively, meanings and practices of representation, the role of conflict in democracy and practices of community empowerment.

Key words: cultural geography, governmentality, representative claim, agonistic pluralism, local government, representation, participation, citizenship, place-making, Tasmania